

Published on Web 12/20/2006

## Enantioselective Synthesis of $\alpha$ -Tertiary Hydroxyaldehydes by Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation of Enolates

Barry M. Trost,\* Jiayi Xu, and Markus Reichle

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5080

Received October 12, 2006; E-mail: bmtrost@stanford.edu

α-Hydroxyaldehydes are very versatile building blocks for the synthesis of natural products as well as clinical drugs.1 Chiral α-hydroxyaldehydes enjoy the added benefit of being a potential source of introducing other stereogenic centers. Up to now, the access of such compounds in enantiomerically enriched form can be classified as a chiral pool approach,1 a chiral auxiliary approach,2 or a transformation from other enantioenriched compounds, such as 1,2-diols,<sup>3a-c</sup> α-hydroxy acids,<sup>3d</sup> and cyanohydrins,<sup>3e</sup> synthesized by other enantioselective methods. However, to our knowledge, catalytic enantioselective synthesis of α-tertiary hydroxyaldehydes directly from prochiral precursors has not been reported.<sup>4</sup> In the course of studying palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) of simple ketone enolates,5 we postulated that treatment of enol carbonate 2 or 3 bearing a shiftable OR1 group with a proper chiral palladium catalyst presumably could regio- and enantioselectively generate R<sub>1</sub> protected α-tertiary hydroxyaldehydes 1 (eq 1). Substrates 2 and 3 can be made from readily available  $\alpha$ -halo or α-hydroxy ketones.<sup>6</sup> Herein, we report the first example of a palladium-catalyzed highly enantioselective synthesis of  $\alpha$ -tertiary hydroxyaldehydes resulting from a novel competition and demonstrate its synthetic utility in a formal synthesis of (S)-oxybutynin.<sup>7</sup>

We initially subjected 2a-1 and 3a-1, respectively, to our previously reported conditions (2.5 mol % of Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 5.5 mol % of (R,R)-L in 1,4-dioxane at 23 °C).<sup>5</sup> Although the reaction of 2a-1 was significantly faster, the only product from either was aldehyde (S)-1a with excellent yields and enantiomeric excesses (ee's) (Table 1, entries 1 and 2).8 As summarized in Table 1, the scope of the R<sub>1</sub> group was explored. With few exceptions, the reaction favored the formation of aldehyde 1a independent of the oxygen substituent, while in several cases of reactions with achiral ligand 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe) as ligand, the major product was ketone 4a (Table 1, entries 6, 8, and 10). The reaction of 3a-3 with a TIPS or 3a-8 with a mesitoyl group was slower than that with a less bulky group in the same class; in both cases, a significant amount of ketone 4a was isolated (Table 1, entries 4 and 12). Presumably, if the equilibrium between enolate I and II is faster than the allylation, the reaction should proceed through the former since it is more stable than II both electronically and sterically. On the other hand, if the rate of allylation became faster than the rate of enolate equilibration as may be occurring in the case of acetyl (Table 1, entry 7) or with dppe as ligand, then an increasing amount of ketone is observed (Table 1, entries 6, 8, and 10). Substrate 3a-9 with an unshiftable methoxymethyl (MOM) group had very poor conversion under the same conditions, perhaps

Table 1. Various Hydroxy Protecting Groups are Suitable<sup>a</sup>

entry	SM	R <sub>1</sub>	time	yield% of <b>1a</b>	ee% of <b>1a</b>	yield% of <b>4a</b>
1 2	2a-1 3a-1	TBDMS TBDMS	1/4 h 1 h	93 86	92 91	0
3 4	2a-2 3a-3	TMS TIPS	1/4 h 5 h	99 67	92 91	0 9
$\frac{5}{6^b}$	2a-4 2a-4	benzoyl benzoyl	2 h 2 h	93 11	81	0 75
$\frac{7}{8^b}$	3a-5 3a-5	acetyl acetyl	7 h 1/2 h	40 24	91	60 <sup>c</sup> 67
$\frac{9}{10^{b}}$	2a-6 2a-6	piv piv	4 h 4 h	90 36	91	0 55
11 12 13	2a-7 3a-8 3a-9	CO <sub>2</sub> Me mesitoyl MOM	4 h 36 h 16 h	71 <sup>d</sup> 10 <5	86 91	$\frac{0}{36^{e}}$

<sup>a</sup> The structure of the substrates was confirmed with HMBC and NOE NMR data; unless otherwise indicated, all reactions were performed at 23 °C on a 0.2 mmol scale at 0.1 M using 2.5 mol % of 2 and 5.5 mol % of ligand; yields were isolated yields; ee's of 1a and 4a were determined by chiral HPLC. <sup>b</sup> 5.5 mol % dppe was used as the ligand. <sup>c</sup> With 21% ee. <sup>d</sup> Product partially hydrolyzed on silica gel column. <sup>e</sup> With 13% ee.

due to the chelation of the intermediate enolate with the Pd catalyst (Table 1, entry 13). Support for this contention is derived from the observation that the reaction of **3a-1** was severely inhibited by the addition of an equal amount of **3a-9** (6% conversion in contrast to a full conversion in 1 h in the absence of **3a-9**). In addition, The *E*-enolate generated from **5** cannot chelate to the catalyst and reacted readily (eq 2).

Although various  $R_1$  groups are suitable, we selected the most commonly used TBDMS as the hydroxy protecting group and investigated the scope of the nucleophilic moiety (Table 2). In general, excellent yields and ee's were obtained with R as different as aryl, alkenyl, or alkynyl groups (Table 2). For substrates where R are alkenyl groups, only the  $\alpha$ -alkylated aldehydes are generated, no  $\gamma$ -alkylated enal is observed (entries 9 and 10). Since the silicon migration of trans enediolate is not likely as in substrate 11, it reacted to afford ketone 4i in 95% yields and 99% ee, while its cis isomer 2i converted to aldehyde 1i in 76% yield and 89% ee under the same conditions (entries 12 and 15). In the case of tetrasubstituted enol carbonate 7 (entry 13),  $\alpha$ -tertiary siloxy ketone 8 generated from the corresponding *E*-enolate favored formation of

Table 2. Reactions with Different Nucleophilic Moieties<sup>a</sup>

	Trodociono mai Binoroni Iradicoprimo molocio						
	substrate	product	time	yield	ee		
1	2a (R=Ph)	(S)- <b>1a</b>	1/4 h	93%	92%		
2 3	3a (R=Ph)	(S)-1a	1 h	89%	91%		
3	<b>2b</b> (R= <i>p</i> -MeOPh)	1b	1/4 h	94%	92%		
4	<b>3b</b> ( $R=p$ -MeOPh)	1b	2 h	86%	92%		
5	2c (R=2-Naphthyl)	1c	1/4 h	92%	85%		
6	3c (R=2-Naphthyl)	1c	1/2 h	94%	85%		
7	2d ( $R=o-NO_2Ph$ )	1d	12 h	69%	79%		
8	$3d (R=o-NO_2Ph)$	1d	12 h	69%	72%		
9	<b>2e</b> (R=2-furyl)	1e	1/2 h	81%	93%		
10	2f (R=1-cyclohexenyl)	<b>1f</b>	7 h	93%	98%		
11	2g (R=2-methyl-1-	1g	5 h	89%	98%		
12	propenyl)  2i (R=PhC≡C)  OTBDMS	li ✓°	1/4 h	76%	89%		
13		Ph (R)-8	12 h	94%	80%		
14	OTBDMS	©TBDMS O (R)-10	10 h	96%	64%		
15	OCO <sub>2</sub> Aliyl OTBDMS	4i	2 h	95%	99%		

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were performed on a 0.2 mmol scale at 0.1 M in dioxane at 23 °C using 2.5 mol % of Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 5.5 mol % of ligand L; the yields were isolated yields, and ee values were determined by chiral

Table 3. Reactions with Different Electrophilic Moieties

entry	substrate	time	yield	dr	ee of major	ee of minor	ee of <b>15</b>
1	<b>13a</b> $(n = 1)$	1 h	quant.	2.5:1	92%	87%	43%
2	<b>12b</b> $(n = 2)$	2 h	quant.	11:1	>99%		84%
3	<b>13b</b> $(n = 2)$	16 h	quant.	11:1	>99%		
4	<b>12c</b> $(n = 3)$	16 h	quant.	50:1	>99%		99%
5	<b>13c</b> $(n = 3)$	16 h	30%	50:1	>99%		

Scheme 1. Formal Synthesis of (S)-Oxybutynina

<sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, CO<sub>2</sub>, THF, then PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>Br, DMF, 23 °C, 42%; (b) NaHMDS, TBSCl, THF, -78 to 23 °C, 83%; (c) 2.5 mol % of Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 5.5 mol % of **L**, 1,4-dioxane, 23 °C, 99% (dr 11:1); (d) H<sub>2</sub>, cat. Pd/C, EtOH, 23 °C, 96%, 84% ee; (e) NaClO<sub>2</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2-methyl-2-butene, t-BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 23 °C, 95% (recrystallization from hexane/DCM, >99% ee).

the R-enantiomer. 10 The reaction also works for the synthesis of a cyclic α-tertiary siloxy ketone 10 with a moderate ee in favor of the R-enantiomer (entry 14).<sup>11</sup>

Variation of the allyl moiety to cycloalkenyl as in 12 led to 14 quantitatively (eq 3). The regioisomer 13b reacted slower than 12b but still in quantitative yield, whereas the reaction of 13c proceeded only in 30% conversion. The diastereomeric ratio (dr) of 14 increased from 2.5:1 to over 50:1 with increasing cycloalkenyl ring size. Removal of one of the stereogenic centers by hydrogenation of the C=C double bond gave compounds 15a-c (n = 1-3). The ee of 15 reflected the dr of 14. 15b was converted into the key intermediate 17 for the synthesis of (S)-oxybutynin in 95% yield, wherein one recrystallization increased the ee to over 99% (Scheme 1).

In summary, we report the first catalytic asymmetric synthesis of α-tertiary hydroxyaldehydes by palladium-catalyzed allylic

alkylation of siloxy enol carbonates. The excellent selectivity toward aldehyde was achieved by using chiral ligand L, which is in stark contrast to dppe, which favors ketone formation. The reaction proceeds under very mild conditions and generates an α-tetrasubstituted stereogenic center with excellent yield and enantiomeric excess. Further investigation of the mechanism, reaction scope, and its application in organic synthesis is ongoing.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health, General Medical Sciences Grant GM13598, for their generous support of our programs. J.X. has been supported by Abbott Laboratories Fellowships. Mass spectra were provided by the Mass Spectrometry Regional Center of the University of California-San Francisco supported by the NIH Division of Research Resources. We thank Chirotech (now Dow) for their generous gifts of ligands, and Johnson Matthey for gifts of palladium salts.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

(1) Coppola, G. M.; Schuster, H. F. \alpha-Hydroxy Acids in Enantioselective

Syntheses; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1997.
(a) Seyden-Penne, J. Chiral Auxiliaries and Ligands in Asymmetric Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1995. (b) Ruano, J. L. G.; Barros, D.; Maestro, M. C.; Alcudia, A.; Fernández, I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 3445. (c) Tamura, Y.; Kondo, H.; Annoura, H.; Takeuchi, R.; Fujioka, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 81. (d) Guanti, G.; Narisano, E.; Pero, F.; Banfi, L.; Scolastico, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin* Trans. 1 1984, 189. (e) Guanti, G.; Narisano, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 817. (f) Mukaiyama, T. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 4111.

(a) Hughes, D. L. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 3, p 1273. (b) Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. *Chem.* Rev. 1994, 94, 2483. (c) Hof, R. R.; Kellogg, R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 2051. (d) Ooi, T.; Fukumoto, K.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3839. (e) Brunel, J. M.; Holmes, I. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2752.

(4) Enantioseletive aldol reactions of  $\alpha$ -oxyaldehydes catalyzed by organocatalysts have been reported. See: Northrup, A. B.; Mangion, Ĭ. K.; Hettche, F.; MacMillian, D. W. C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2152.

(a) Trost, B. M.; Xu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17180. (b) Trost, B. M.; Xu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2846. (c) Similar results were reported independently by Stoltz et al. using *t*-Bu-PHOX ligands. See: Behenna, D. C.; Stoltz, B. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 15044.

(6) See Supporting Information for the examples of the synthesis of siloxy enol carbonates

(a) Thompson, I. M.; Lauvetz, R. Urology 1976, 8, 452-454. For the (a) Inompson, I. M.; Lauvetz, R. *Urology* 1976, 8, 452–454. For the synthesis of (S)-oxybutynin see: (b) Tokuda, O.; Kano, T.; Gao, W. G.; Ikemoto, T.; Maruoka, K. *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 5103. (c) Gupta, P.; Fernandes, R. A.; Kumar, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 4231. (d) Masumoto, S.; Suzuki, M.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 8647. (e) Grover, P. T.; Bhongle, N. N.; Wald, S. A.; Senanayake, C. H. *J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 65, 6283. (f) Senanayake, C. H.; Fang K.; Crouser, B.; Pakale, B.; Vandarphesed, C. R.; Wald, S. Fang K.; Crover, P.; Bakale, R. P.; Vandenbossche, C. R.; Wald, S. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 819.

The absolute configuration of 1a was determined by reduction of CHO to CH<sub>2</sub>OH followed by removal of TBDMS and comparison of the optical rotation of the product 1,2-diol ( $[\alpha]_D^{24} = -45.2$  (c 1.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)) with the reported data for (2R)-1,2-dihydroxy-2-phenylpent-4-ene ( $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.4$ (c 1.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)). Agami, C.; Couty, F.; Lequesne, C. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4043.

(9) Waetzig, S. R.; Rayabarapu, D. K.; Weaver, J. D.; Tunge, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4977.

(10) The absolute configuration of 8 was determined by removal of TBDMS and comparison of the optical rotation of the product  $([\alpha]_D^{24} = -91.3 (c 1.05, benzene))$  with the reported data for (S)-3-hydroxy-3-phenylhex-5-en-2-one  $([\alpha]_D^{26} = +124.7 (c 0.635, benzene))$ . Soai, K.; Ishizaki, M. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 3290.

(11) The absolute configuration of 10 was determined by removal of TBDMS and comparison of the optical rotation of the product ( $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -73.4$  (c 2.6, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)) with the reported data for (S)-2-allyl-2-hydroxycyclohexanone ( $[\alpha]_D = +136.6$  (c 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)). Compain, P.; Goré, J.; Vatèle, J. M. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 6647.

JA067342A